# Personal Perspectives on Robotic Coordination and Bioinspiration

Francesco Bullo



Center for Control, Dynamical Systems & Computation University of California at Santa Barbara http://motion.me.ucsb.edu

The 3rd International Symposium on Swarm Behavior and Bio-Inspired Robotics November 20-22, Okinawa, Japan Jorge Cortés\* Sonia Martínez\* Emilio Frazzoli\* Marco Pavone\* Paolo Frasca\* G. Notarstefano Anurag Ganguli Ketan Savla Ruggero Carli\* Sara Susca Stephen Smith Shaunak Bopardikar Karl Obermeyer Joey Durham Vaibhav Srivastava Fabio Pasqualetti Rush Patel Pushkarini Agharkar Jeff Peters Mishel George



Xiaoming Duan, UC Santa Barbara



Rush Patel, Systems Technology



Pushkarini Agharkar, Google



Mishel George, Doordash



Jeff Peters, UTRC

## Coordination in multi-agent systems

### Animals and robots:

- each agent senses its immediate environment,
- communicates/interacts with others,
- processes information gathered, and
- takes local action in response



Geese flying in formation



Wildebeest herd in the Serengeti



Fish swarm

### Classic examples of motion coordination

- Territory partitioning
- **O Routing through known locations**
- **o** Searching evaders

## Territory partitioning is ... art





abstract expressionism "Ocean Park No. 27" and "Ocean Park No. 129" by Richard Diebenkorn (1922-1993), inspired by aerial landscapes

# Territory partitioning ... centralized space planning



UC Santa Barbara Campus Development Plan, 2008

## Territory partitioning ... undemocratic voting districts



Gerrymandering the Ohio voting districts

## Territory partitioning is ... animal territory dynamics



Tilapia mossambica, "Hexagonal

Territories," Barlow '74

## Territory partitioning is ... animal territory dynamics



Tilapia mossambica, "Hexagonal Territories," Barlow '74



Sage sparrows, "Territory dynamics in a sage sparrows population," Petersen and Best '87

## Territory partitioning is ... animal territory dynamics





Tilapia mossambica, "Hexagonal Territories," Barlow '74 Sage sparrows, "Territory dynamics in a sage sparrows population," Petersen and Best '87



Red harvester ants, "Optimization, Conflict, and Nonoverlapping Foraging Ranges," Adler and Gordon '03

## Territory partitioning: behaviors and optimality

#### **ANALYSIS** of cooperative distributed behaviors

 how do animals share territory? how do they decide where to forage? how do they decide nest locations?



What if each robot goes to "center" of own dominance region?

#### **DESIGN** of performance metrics

- I how to cover a region with n minimum-radius overlapping disks?
- bow to design a minimum-distortion (fixed-rate) vector quantizer?

## Multi-center functions

• *n* robots at 
$$p = \{p_1, \ldots, p_n\}$$

- environment is partitioned into  $v = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$
- customer arrives and waits for service:

$$H(p, v) = \int_{V_1} \|q - p_1\| dq + \cdots + \int_{V_n} \|q - p_n\| dq$$

## Multi-center functions

• *n* robots at 
$$p = \{p_1, \ldots, p_n\}$$

- environment is partitioned into  $v = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$
- customer arrives and waits for service:

$$H(p, v) = \int_{V_1} \|q - p_1\| dq + \cdots + \int_{V_n} \|q - p_n\| dq$$

$$H(p,v) = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{V_i} f(\|q-p_i\|)\phi(q)dq$$

- $\phi: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  density
- $f:\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{R}$  penalty function



# Optimal partitioning

The **Voronoi partition**  $\{V_1, \ldots, V_n\}$  generated by points  $(p_1, \ldots, p_n)$ 

$$V_i = \{q \in Q \mid ||q - p_i|| \le ||q - p_j||, \forall j \neq i\}$$
  
=  $Q \bigcap_j$  (half plane between *i* and *j*, containing *i*)



# Optimal centering (for region v with density $\phi$ )

function of <i>p</i>	minimizer = center
$m{p}\mapsto \int_{m{v}}\ m{q}-m{p}\ \phi(m{q})dm{q}$	Fermat–Weber point (or median)
$p\mapsto \int_v \ q-p\ ^2 \phi(q) dq$	centroid (or center of mass)
$p\mapsto {\sf area}(v\cap {\sf disk}(p,r))$	r-area center
$p \mapsto$ radius of largest disk centered at $p$ enclosed inside $v$	incenter
$p \mapsto$ radius of smallest disk cen- tered at $p$ enclosing $v$	circumcenter
	C'_

From online Encyclopedia of Triangle Centers



## From optimality conditions to algorithms

$$H(p, v) = \int_{v_1} f(||q - p_1||)\phi(q)dq + \cdots + \int_{v_n} f(||q - p_n||)\phi(q)dq$$

at fixed positions, optimal partition is Voronoi
at fixed partition, optimal positions are "generalized centers"



 •
 -

## From optimality conditions to algorithms

$$H(p, v) = \int_{v_1} f(||q - p_1||)\phi(q)dq + \cdots + \int_{v_n} f(||q - p_n||)\phi(q)dq$$

at fixed positions, optimal partition is Voronoi
at fixed partition, optimal positions are "generalized centers"
alternate v-p optimization ⇒ local opt = center Voronoi partition





### Voronoi+centering law

At each comm round:

- 1: acquire neighbors' positions
- 2: compute own dominance region
- 3: move towards center of own dominance region









Area-center

Incenter

Circumcenter





3D coverage

nonconvex converage



discrete peer-to-peer

### territory partitioning:

- well developed in engineering
- existing connection with the study of animal behavior

 $\ldots$  even if cost functions may differ

### Potential for future research and collaborations

- do animals achieve optimal territory partitioning? recent game-theoretic work proposes "elaborate" coordination algorithms to achieve constant-factor optimality
- a how to incorporate exploration in robotic territory partitioning?
- I how about animal behavior in nonconvex environment

- Territory partitioning
- **O Routing through known locations**
- **o** Searching evaders

### Routing through known locations

- customers appear sequentially randomly space/time
- robotic network knows locations and provides service
- Goal: distributed algorithm that minimizes wait time



## Algo #1: Receding-horizon shortest-path policy

### Receding-horizon Shortest-Path (RH-SP)

For  $\eta \in (0,1]$ , single agent performs:

- 1: while no customers, move to center
- 2: while customers waiting
  - compute shortest path through current customers
  - **2** service  $\eta$ -fraction of path

## Algo #1: Receding-horizon shortest-path policy

### Receding-horizon Shortest-Path (RH-SP)

For  $\eta \in (0,1]$ , single agent performs:

- 1: while no customers, move to center
- 2: while customers waiting
  - compute shortest path through current customers

**2** service  $\eta$ -fraction of path



- shortest path is NP-hard, but effective heuristics available
- delay is optimal in light traffic
- delay is constant-factor optimal in high traffic

## Algo #2: Load balancing via territory partitioning

#### RH-SP + Partitioning

For  $\eta \in (0,1]$ , agent i performs:

- 1: compute own cell  $v_i$  in optimal partition
- 2: apply RH-SP policy on v<sub>i</sub>

Asymptotically constant-factor optimal in light and high traffic



I am unaware of comparable animal behavior

### Potential for future research and collaborations

- I can animals can solve shortest-path problems?
- 2 do they adopt simpler efficient heuristics?

- Territory partitioning
- **O Routing through known locations**
- **o** Searching evaders

# Search and surveillance

#### Design motion strategies to search unpredictably and quickly



- pursuer / predator
- evader / prey

## Search and surveillance

#### Design motion strategies to search unpredictably and quickly



- pursuer / predator
- evader / prey

How many steps on average for predator to detect prey? How to minimize? How to maximize?

## Stochastic surveillance: Motivating example 2/2



- San Francisco
- crime rate at 12 locations
- all-to-all driving times (quantized in minutes)

## Stochastic surveillance: Motivating example 2/2



- San Francisco
- crime rate at 12 locations
- all-to-all driving times (quantized in minutes)

### police:

• on patrol, moves around city

bank robber:

- robber picks bank
- attacks at time with minimum detection likelihood



## Approach: Markov chains for routing and planning



#### Advantages of adopting Markov chains:

- I rich behavior
- Inite-dimensional optimization problem
- well-defined notion of unpredictability: entropy
- well-defined notion of speed: hitting time

The entropy of a discrete random variable  $X \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$  is

$$\mathbb{H}(X) = -\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_i \log p_i$$



Unbiased coin: Biased coin: Predictable coin:  $\mathbb{P}[X = \text{Head}] = 0.5$   $\mathbb{H}(X) = 0.69$ 
 $\mathbb{P}[X = \text{Head}] = 0.75$   $\mathbb{H}(X) = 0.56$ 
 $\mathbb{P}[X = \text{Head}] = 1$   $\mathbb{H}(X) = 0$ 

## The entropy of what variable?

## The entropy of what variable?



## The entropy of what variable?





location entropy vs. return time entropy

## Compare three chains

Several journal papers later

#### MaxReturnEntropy

$$\max_{P} \mathbb{H}_{\mathsf{return-time}}(P)$$

### ② MaxLocationEntropy

 $\max_{P} \mathbb{H}_{\mathsf{location}}(P)$ 

MinCaptureTime: min E[capture time(P)]
 simplified intruder model: random attack location / time

## Comparison over San Francisco map





(a) MaxReturnEntropy (b) MinCaptureTime

Pixel image of Markov chains:  $i^{\text{th}}$  row are transition probabilities out of i

- MinCaptureTime chain is close to "TSP + self weights"
- MaxReturnEntropy chain is dense, i.e., has higher entropy



2/4

MaxReturnEntropy:  $\mathbb{P}[0-10m] \approx 10\%$ ,  $\mathbb{P}[10-20m] \approx 25\%$ ,  $\mathbb{P}[20-30m] \approx 20\%$ , ...



### Rational intruder:

- Picks a node *i* to attack with probability  $\pi_i$
- Collects the return time statistics of the pursuer
- Attacks when the pursuer is absent for s<sub>i</sub> timesteps since last visit

$$s_i = \underset{0 \le s \le S_i}{\operatorname{argmin}} \Big\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\tau} \mathbb{P}(T_{ii} = s + k \mid T_{ii} > s) \Big\},$$

where  $\tau$  is the attack duration and  $S_i$  is determined by the degree of impatience  $\delta$ , i.e.,  $\mathbb{P}(T_{ii} \geq S_i) \leq \delta$ 





4x4 grid (unit travel times)



SF map



#### Lessons

- 4 × 4 grid: MaxReturnEntropy > MaxLocationEntropy
- $4 \times 4$  grid: MaxReturnEntropy > MinCaptureTime for short attacks
- SF: MaxReturnEntropy > MinCaptureTime for short attacks

- search strategies by optimizing transition probabilities
- I am unaware of comparable animal behavior

#### Potential for future research and collaborations

- I how do animal play this search/hide games?
- O do they ever move unpredictably?

 S. Martínez, J. Cortés, and F. Bullo. Motion coordination with distributed information. *IEEE Control Systems*, 27(4):75–88, 2007. doi:10.1109/MCS.2007.384124

 F. Bullo, E. Frazzoli, M. Pavone, K. Savla, and S. L. Smith. Dynamic vehicle routing for robotic systems. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 99(9):1482–1504, 2011. doi:10.1109/JPR0C.2011.2158181

 X. Duan, M. George, and F. Bullo. Markov chains with maximum return time entropy for robotic surveillance. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 2019. doi:10.1109/TAC.2019.2906473

### Freely-downloadable textbooks

Lectures on Robotic Planning and Kinematics



F. Bullo and S. L. Smith. *Lectures on Robotic Planning and Kinematics*. Unpublished Manuscript, 2019. URL: http://motion.me.ucsb.edu/book-lrpk

F. Bullo. Lectures on Network Systems. Kindle Direct Publishing, 1.3 edition, July 2019, ISBN 978-1986425643. URL: http://motion.me.ucsb.edu/book-lns

F. Bullo, J. Cortés, and S. Martínez. *Distributed Control of Robotic Networks*. Princeton University Press, 2009, ISBN 978-0-691-14195-4. URL: http://www.coordinationbook.info

### Lectures on Network Systems

# Lectures on Network Systems



#### Francesco Bullo

With contributions by Jorge Cortés Florian Dörfler Sonia Martínez Lectures on Network Systems, Kindle Direct Publishing, 1.3 edition, 2019, ISBN 978-1-986425-64-3

- 1. Self-Published and Print-on-Demand at: https://www.amazon.com/dp/1986425649
- 2. PDF Freely available at

http://motion.me.ucsb.edu/book-lns: For students: free PDF for download For instructors: slides, classnotes, and answer keys

- 3. incorporates lessons from my research experience: robotic multi-agent, social networks, power grids
- 4. now v1.3 v2.0 will expand nonlinear coverage

316 pages 164 exercises, 205 pages solution manual 4.6K downloads Jun 2016 - Nov 2019 35 instructors in 16 countries

#### **Robotic problems**



### Potential collaborations on bioinspired coordination

- optimal exploration-based territory partitioning
- euristics for routing through locations
- Inpredictability in animal motion